











Final Conference

Enhancing the Effectiveness of Social Dialogue Articulation in Europe EESDA - VS/2017/0434

21 November 2019 – Lisbon, Portugal Hotel Vila Galé Opera















Interviews – total 28 Employers/ trade unions/ experts

- National level 11
- Sectoral 17
 - Commerce 3
 - Construction 5
 - Education 5
 - Health 4

















Some thoughts from the findings:

National level

- Partners are satisfied with the general involvement in SD (both national and EU level) Positive outcomes resulting from SD
- In the crisis period, policies have been based on a greater social partners role.
- National level for negotiations perceived effectiveness of their processes and outcomes. Tripartite (CPCS) and bipartite (collective agreements)
- Inspiration from EU level exchange of information and good practices. "To be on board" is important although effectiveness is not so well perceived. It's difficult to reach the EU level with concrete topics. "Small country"















European Semester

Positive involvement at national level

It is a mean of strengthening the link between EU and national level

2 phases

- Preparation with an important contribution from CPCS social partners
- Recommendations There is a lack of follow-up and evaluation in their operationalisation

Sectors involved if affiliated with the national SP















Sectoral level

Different situations depending on the sector

- <u>Fragility of practices</u> Successful cases (construction)/ change of some leaders and these practices were abandoned.
- Importance:

of organizations <u>capacity building</u> + the vision/ strategy of the leaders of <u>membership in a European association</u> - greater contact with the dynamics of SD. Be present and follow the work to "make the agenda". Regularity and frequency of the meetings.

- Relationships over time - patience and commitment - Trust - respect for opinions.

Importance of personal and informal relationships which "serve to unlock SD".















Some thoughts :

- Representativeness ... New union structures outside institutionalized SD. A question of trust?
- Fragmentation in representativeness, especially in the trade union with consequences on the effectiveness
 Ex: in Health sector Nurses represented by 5 unions (73,650 Porbase) and 1 Order of nurses(without SD competences).
- Ideology still embedded in the national trade union representation.















Some thoughts

When it comes to results:

- - trade unions have a stronger preference for binding results,
- employers prefer for non-binding results.

In terms of social dialogue improvement:

- Employers strengthen joint projects, joint statements. Not satisfied with the transposition of the EU agenda into national legislation (ex: posting workers)
- Unions prefer more negotiation than exchange of information and common positions... the goal is to achieve binding results. Future discussion on the European minimum wage??



